IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

| CONNORS, et al | | | | | | * | * | | | | | |
|--|------------|---------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-------|--|
| Plaintiffs | | | | | | * Cas | * Case No.: 1:93-cv-03306 | | | | | |
| v. | | | | | | * | | | | | | |
| AC&S, INC., et al | | | | | | * | | | | | | |
| D | efendan | ts | | | | * | * | | | | | |
| | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | |
| ORDER WITHDRAWING THE APPEARANCE ON BEHALF OF IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. | Whit | eford, T | aylor & | & Presto | n, L.L.P. (V | Vhiteford | d, Taylo | or & Pre | ston), aı | nd | |
| its re | spective | attorne | eys, and | Louis | G. Close | e, Jr., have r | recited a | dequate | reasons | s to strik | æ | |
| their | appeara | nce, an | d comp | lied wi | th Local | Rule 101 in | n moving | g to stri | ke their | appeara | nce; | |
| and, | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Whiteford, Taylor & Preston and Louis G. Close, Jr., has given adequate | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| notic | e of its 1 | notion, | includi | ng to tl | ne defen | dant ACand | IS, Inc. | | | | | |
| | THE | REFOR | E, it is | hereby | ordered | this da | y of | | , 2 | 006, tha | t the | |
| motic | on is gra | nted ar | nd the ap | pearar | ices of B | 3. Ford Davi | is, Gardı | ner M. l | Duvall, ' | Whitefo | rd, | |
| Taylo | or & Pre | ston L. | L.P. any | y Louis | G. Clos | e, Jr., are h | ereby str | ricken. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

District Judge